

PURPOSE OF MEETING: Gerroa Sand Resource – Community Consultative Committee Meeting	MEETING NUMBER: GER-CCC-01 MEETING DATE: 20 July 2009 VENUE: Gerroa Boat Fishermans Club
ATTENDEES: Garry Langton James Doak Terry Barrett Steve Crandell Richard Owen Kel Sekulic Wayde Peterson Andrew Gibbes Glenden Lee	DISTRIBUTION: All Attendees Director-General, Department of Planning

Items are given the meeting number first and then an item number, then each item will have an individual number to be carried forward with it if raised again at future meetings.

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
		No apologies received, Tony Thompson and Kiama Municipal Council representative not in attendance. Kel Sekulic (KS) expressed his disappointment at being referred to in the meeting agenda as a Gerroa Environment Protection Society Representative and not a Community Representative. Garry Langton (GL) advised that the agenda asks for introductions first and that KS's concern would be better addressed in agenda item 2.			
1		GL commences agenda item 1 requesting brief introductions of individuals attending the meeting. Garry Langton (GL) Independent Chairperson, retired Bluescope manager and local Gerroa resident.			
1		James Doak (JD) Community Representative, local property owner with a background in manufacturing and sand mining.			

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
1		Terry Barrett (TB) Gerroa Environment Protection Society Representative (GEPS), Bombaderry resident, background in environmental protection and National Parks, has had input into the sites Landscape and Rehabilitation Management Plan (LRMP).			
1		Steve Crandell (SC) Cleary Bros Quarry Manager, long history in Cleary Bros, recently appointed Quarry Manager			
1		Richard Owen (RO) Community Representative, lives adjacent to the site, formally worked as an engineer.			
1		Kel Sekulic (KS) Toolijooa resident and again expressed disappointed that he was not referred to as a Community Representative on the meeting agenda. Stated that he wanted to sort the issue out immediately. Said that he was community representative on the previous Gerroa Sand Resource CCC . GL reiterated the need to bring this up after introductions had been concluded and added that JD also wanted to make a similar statement.			
1		Wayde Peterson (WP) Cleary Bros Environmental Officer, formally with Shellharbour City Council with Project Management background, has been at Cleary Bros for approximately 5 years.			
1		Andrew Gibbes (AG) Shoalhaven City Council Representative and Senior Environmental Health Officer. Glenden Lee (GLee) Shoalhaven City Council Representative and Environmental Health Officer			
1		GL asked if anybody knew if anybody was missing. WP stated that Kiama Municipal Council representative is not in attendance. RO stated that Tony Thompson (TT) was not in attendance.			
2		GL asked WP to explain consent condition 8 of schedule 5 describing the requirements of the CCC. WP showed CCC members the consent condition and explained how the meeting came into fruition and the requirements of the CCC direct from the consent.			
2		GL asked JD to please now explain his issue with representation on the CCC. JD asked that KS please go first as his issue seemed more pressing.			

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
2		<p>KS stated that he has been in liaison with the Department of Planning (DoP) and he believed that the DoP had already approved his CCC membership as a Community Representative.</p> <p>GL asked what WP understood the DoP's stance to be. WP stated that he had also been in liaison with the DoP and was instructed to invite KS to the first meeting and report back to them on what happens. KS said that he thought that this was already all sorted out and that he was a Community Representative just like the previous CCC.</p> <p>WP stated that the consent conditions were clear that only 1 member of GEPS was to attend the meeting similarly only 2 members of Cleary Bros were to attend the meeting.</p> <p>WP asked if KS was still a member of GEPS. KS said that was irrelevant.</p> <p>WP further suggested that there were employees of Cleary Bros that lived in the local area who also wanted to be Community Representatives on the CCC, but were not allowed due to the clear conditions in the consent.</p> <p>KS iterated that he believes that he was purposely omitted from the approved nominations. He asked WP if this was indeed the case?</p> <p>WP stated that he contacted TB first requesting the GEPS nomination for the CCC and TB nominated himself. Which meant that GEPS therefore had there representative and WP could not therefore invite a supplementary GEPS member as per the consent.</p>			
2		<p>GL asked JD to please address the CCC with his request.</p> <p>JD explained that he was not in fact a Community Representative but representing the Gerroa Community Association (GCA). JD wanted his position to be representing the GCA and was disappointed that the GCA was not represented in the consent document as they were a much bigger organisation that GEPS.</p> <p>WP said that a member of the GCA is a good candidate to take up a Community Representative position on the CCC.</p> <p>GL said that as Chairman he would support the GCA been represented on the CCC by JD as a Community Representative</p> <p>JD stated that he may not always be available for the CCC meetings and wanted to be able to nominate an alternative from the GCA if he was unable to attend.</p> <p>GL says that JD should be able to nominate an alternative in the event that he could not attend a CCC meeting.</p>			

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
2		<p>TB motioned that JD be able to nominate an alternate from the GCA and KS be nominated as a local Community Representative.</p> <p>JD stated that 2 members of the GCA were also GEPS members.</p> <p>GL requested that WP clarify with the DoP if JD can nominate an alternate from the GCA if he is unavailable for a future CCC meeting.</p> <p>JD stated that the GCA has different interests to that of GEPS and that the GCA were not all against the continuation of Cleary Bros sand mine.</p>	In Progress	WP	Next Meeting
2		<p>GL asked if KS had anything in writing from the DoP stating his approval as a Community Representative? KS said that he did not realise it would be required.</p> <p>GL stated that in his memory TB did not always attend the previous CCC meetings and that KS was the GEPS representative at those meetings.</p> <p>TB stated that he was always the GEPS representative and that he never missed any meetings and that KS was always a Community Representative.</p> <p>KS asked why CB did not nominate him as a Community Representative?</p> <p>RO requested that this discussion be brought to a close so that the meeting could move on Asked GL to wrap this item up.</p>			
2		<p>GL asked JD to get a letter from the GCA nominating him as a representative of the GCA and requesting the ability to send a nominated alternative if JD is unable to attend a CCC meeting.</p>	In Progress	JD	ASAP
2		<p>TB motioned that KS be nominated as the Community Representative in place of TT.</p> <p>GL stated that it the CCC should first ascertain TT's intentions. WP to contact TT</p> <p>RO said that KS has experience in things relevant to the CCC especially water related.</p> <p>TB motioned that KS be accepted as a Community Representative and asked for a second to the motion. No second to the motion arose leading to RO asking if Cleary Bros have a problem with KS being on the CCC? GL and WP made it clear that it was not the place of the CCC to make motions in regard to those conditions of consent for the sand mine are already approved.</p> <p>GL asked if anybody was against KS being a member of the CCC. There were no objections/responses.</p> <p>The Chairman requested that CB resolve the issue of KS membership on the CCC before the next meeting of the CCC.</p>			
3		<p>GL asked CCC members to take a copy each of the Quarry Environmental Management Plan (QEMP) from the pile on the table.</p> <p>WP explained the format of the QEMP.</p> <p>TB stated that the Land and Environment Court stamp was on the consent and LRMP in the document.</p>			

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
4		<p>GL introduced agenda item 4.</p> <p>SC stated that he was the emergency contact.</p> <p>RO requested a site visit as there are a lot of rumours in the community.</p> <p>GL asked that we continue with item 4, RO concerns will be addressed in agenda item 5.</p> <p>GL directed the CCC to page 3.7 of the QEMP that details the sites emergency contact details.</p> <p>KS asked why there are emergency contact details.</p> <p>WP explained that it was a way for the community to report emergencies at the site to Cleary Bros such as a bushfire or a vehicle accident.</p> <p>GL then explained Cleary Bros web address from the QEMP.</p>			
5		<p>RO questioned whether Cleary Bros have fences in the correct locations and that he wants each tree to be retained marked individually.</p> <p>WP explained that the retained areas were significant and Cleary Bros had fenced the areas with a surveyor locating the boundary and that it would be unfeasible to mark individual trees because of the number of trees involved.</p> <p>TB stated that a site visit would be good. He stated that he did not agree with the courts approval. Also stated that no member of the CCC should trespass onto Cleary Bros land.</p> <p>RO stated that he had visited the site as a customer and the site was very beautiful and well kept.</p> <p>TB disagreed.</p> <p>GL stated that a site visit should form part of the next meeting.</p>			
5		<p>RO stated that he knows that Cleary Bros have mined sand without approval.</p> <p>WP refuted this claim.</p> <p>TB suggested that an inspection would be wise and stated that an inspection is not necessarily a meeting and that inspections should happen more often.</p> <p>GL said that an inspection could take place and then a meeting afterwards.</p>			
5		<p>GL stated that there are avenues for complaints to be made in between meetings and that Cleary Bros are required to respond to these complaints.</p>			
5		<p>RO said that he was concerned with 'poison soils' and that the site was historically 'naturally' polluted. RO then asked WP if the bores installed on site had located 'poison soils'.</p> <p>WP explained that boreholes were for sampling groundwater and not related to Possible Acid Sulphate Soils (PASS) which is managed in accordance with the QEMP.</p>			
5		<p>GL suggested that everyone take the time to read the QEMP before the next meeting</p>			

ITEM #	DATE RAISED	DESCRIPTION	STATUS COMPLETE/IN PROGRESS	ACTION BY WHOM	ACTION BY WHEN
5		<p>KS asked why 'Blue Angle Creek' is not part of the testing regime.</p> <p>WP stated that the testing regime has been designed in consultation with the Land and Environment Court and relevant experts. Then followed with detail of how the boreholes are located between the sand resource extension area and the main channel so a test point representative of the groundwater moving between those 2 locations.</p> <p>KS stated that Cleary Bros had promised but never provided borehole locations.</p> <p>WP pointed out where the plan showing the locations was included in the QEMP. WP further stated that he would provide the names of the 3 new boreholes at the next meeting.</p>	In Progress	WP	At Next Meeting
5		<p>KS asked SC to clarify the 20m cells.</p> <p>SC replied that when required a 20m strip is cleared.</p> <p>SC also stated that Dr Kevin Mills and the Aboriginal Land Council are in attendance before and during the clearing process respectively.</p>			
5		<p>KS asked SC what is meant by the operational area shown on plans in the QEMP.</p> <p>SC stated that it is the area in which Cleary Bros may operate the sand mine and related processes.</p>			
5		<p>GL stated that it would be good to organise an inspection to see the boreholes and fences and give everybody on the CCC a visual of what is happening onsite.</p> <p>JD advised that he will be unavailable from 21 August for approximately 1 month.</p> <p>RO advised that he will be unavailable for about 1 month after that.</p> <p>WP stated that there would be no problem with that as an inspection in spring (October or November) would be better so that the rehabilitation plants have a chance to take hold.</p> <p>TB suggested that a site visit could take place prior to JD departure (i.e. the next month).</p>	In Progress	WP	To advise when to hold inspection and/or meeting
6		<p>GL called adjournment of the meeting and thanked all for there attendance.</p>			